

WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/10/2016

5.71 Questions were answered clearly and concisely

(S) 5.66 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.30 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (12.50%)	5 (31.25%)	2 (12.50%)	5 (31.25%)
(M) 5.50								

5.67 Material was presented at an appropriate pace

(S) 5.79 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.41 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (12.50%)	4 (25.00%)	2 (12.50%)	4 (25.00%)
(M) 5.50								

5.67 The TA was well prepared for class

(S) 5.91 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.42 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (6.25%)	1 (6.25%)	3 (18.75%)	3 (18.75%)	4 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

5.82 Class time was used well

(S) 5.72 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.42 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (6.25%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (18.75%)	3 (18.75%)	4 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

5.73 The TA effectively led the class

(S) 5.59 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.26 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (6.25%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (25.00%)	2 (12.50%)	4 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

5.58 Topics were effectively related to the lectures

(S) 5.74 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.39 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (18.75%)	3 (18.75%)	2 (12.50%)	4 (25.00%)
(M) 5.50								

5.91 Communicated at a level appropriate for the class

(S) 5.86 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.33 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (6.25%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (18.75%)	2 (12.50%)	5 (31.25%)
(M) 6.00								

Interaction with students (Stephanie Heger - TA)
--

WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/10/2016

5.92 Expectations were clearly explained

(S)	5.58 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	5.34 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (6.25%)	3 (18.75%)	5 (31.25%)	4 (25.00%)
(M)	6.00								

6.21 Grading procedures were fair

(S)	5.50 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	5.32 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (18.75%)	5 (31.25%)	6 (37.50%)
(M)	6.00								

5.92 TA was concerned for students

(S)	5.73 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	5.46 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (6.25%)	4 (25.00%)	3 (18.75%)	5 (31.25%)
(M)	6.00								

6.38 TA was available for consultation outside of class

(S)	5.78 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	5.67 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (12.50%)	4 (25.00%)	7 (43.75%)
(M)	7.00								

6.18 TA maintained positive environment in class

(S)	5.86 of 9538	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	5.51 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (12.50%)	5 (31.25%)	4 (25.00%)
(M)	6.00								

Short Answers (Stephanie Heger - TA)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

06	I think she did well in relationship with students. I have only one thing to comment. In midterm she changed the problem 3 times for the typo. But it was too important to solve it. So I believe she should give us extra time because I used 20-30min for that problem at first.
10	No

Instructor Short Answers (Stephanie Heger - TA)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

00	She has offered detail solutions to the problem sets.
10	Good job overall

13 Stephanie did a good job of providing helps for exam preparation. She also did a good job of putting effort into being prepared for office hours. She was reliable in responding to questions about the material.

What did you like <u>least</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

00 ...

10 Nothing