

WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/10/2016

Title of Course: Behavioral Economics and Experimental Economics

Course: L11 404

Section: 01

Semester: Spring 2012

Instructors: David Levine (Instructor), Stephanie Heger (TA)

Completed Evaluations: 8 of 24 (33%)

Scoring Key

Bold - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

Course Section Requirements:

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)

Overall Evaluation (Stephanie Heger - TA)

6.57 Give an overall rating for the section

(S) 5.70 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.36 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (37.50%)	4 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

6.29 Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness

(S) 5.60 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.27 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								

Instruction (Stephanie Heger - TA)

6.50 Material was presented clearly

(S) 5.74 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.35 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (25.00%)	2 (25.00%)
(M) 6.50								

WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/10/2016

6.75 Questions were answered clearly and concisely

(S) 5.75 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.37 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 7.00								

6.50 Material was presented at an appropriate pace

(S) 5.85 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.26 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (25.00%)	2 (25.00%)
(M) 6.50								

6.75 The TA was well prepared for class

(S) 6.03 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.46 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 7.00								

6.75 Class time was used well

(S) 5.82 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.43 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 7.00								

6.50 The TA effectively led the class

(S) 5.72 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.40 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (25.00%)	2 (25.00%)
(M) 6.50								

6.75 Topics were effectively related to the lectures

(S) 5.85 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.44 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 7.00								

6.75 Communicated at a level appropriate for the class

(S) 5.93 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.34 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 7.00								

Interaction with students (Stephanie Heger - TA)
--

WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/10/2016

6.80 Expectations were clearly explained

(S) 5.73 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.33 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	4 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

6.80 Grading procedures were fair

(S) 5.66 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.40 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	4 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

6.80 TA was concerned for students

(S) 5.91 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.44 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	4 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

7.00 TA was available for consultation outside of class

(S) 5.95 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.50 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	5 (62.50%)
(M) 7.00								

7.00 TA maintained positive environment in class

(S) 6.02 of 11291	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.54 of 1189	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	5 (62.50%)
(M) 7.00								

Short Answers (Stephanie Heger - TA)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

Instructor Short Answers (Stephanie Heger - TA)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

- 00 Clear explanation of requirements for course, helpful in answering questions for the project.
- 02 Really nice
- 07 Stephanie did a great job making experiment design easy to understand and was really accessible for feedback.

What did you like <u>least</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

02

-

07

The atmosphere in the lab might have been a little too casual. Setting this tone made it permissible for people to act bored and be rude--Stephanie deserved more respect.